CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

APPLICATION NUMBER: 40334

BIOEQUIVALENCY REVIEW(S)

OFFICE OF GENERIC DRUGS

DIVISION OF BIOEQUIVALENCE

ANDA # 40-334	SPONSOR:	Gensia Sic	or Pharma	deutical, inc.
DRUG & DOSAGE FORM:	Fluoroura	cil Inject	ion	
STRENGTH: 50 mg/mL,	50 and 10	0 mL Pharπ	acy Bulk	Package Vials
TYPE OF STUDY: SD	SDF	MULT	OTHER W	aiver Request
STUDY SITE: NA	CLINICAL:	NA	ANALYTIC.	AL: NA
STUDY SUMMARY: The waiver of in vi 320.22(b)(1) of Bio	availabili	ty/Bioequi	valence Re	egulations.
PRIMARY REVIEWED: CI		Chaurasia, ——	Ph.D.	BRANCH: I DATE: <u>11/3/98</u>
TEAM LEADER: Yih Cha				BRANCH: I DATE: 1/3/98
DIRECTOR, DIVISION O			ale P. Cor	DATE://///28
DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF INITIAL:				DATE:

Fluorouracil Injection, USP 50 mg/mL; in 50 and 100 mL Pharmacy Bulk Package Vials ANDA # 40-334

Reviewer: Chandra S. Chaurasia

Gensia Sicor Pharmaceutical, Inc Irvine, CA Submission Date: August 31, 1998

Review of a Waiver Request

BACKGROUND

- 1. The firm has requested a waiver of in vivo bioequivalence study requirements for its drug product, Fluorouracil Injection, USP, 50 mg/mL in 50 and 100 mL Pharmacy Bulk Package Vials. The reference listed drug (RLD) is Adrucil (Fluorouracil Injection, USP)50 mg/mL in 50 and 100 mL Pharmacy Bulk Package Vials, manufactured by Pharmacia and Upjohn's (NDA #81-225, approved August 28, 1991)
- 2. The drug is indicated for the palliative management of carcinoma of the colon, rectum, breast, stomach and pancreas.

FORMULATION COMPARISON

Components and composition of the test and the reference products are as follows:

Comparison of Formulations					
Ingredient	Test Product	RLD			
	(mg/mL)	(mg/mL)			
Fluorouracil, USP	50	50			
Sodium hydroxide, NF	to adjust pH	to adjust pH			
Hydrochloric acid, NF	N/A*	to adjust pH'			
Water for Injection	qs to 1 mL	qs to 1 mL			

*Gensia Sicor does not utilize Hydrochloric acid to adjust pH of its test product, where as Pharmacia Upjohn uses Hydrochloric acid only if necessary to adjust pH of the RLD.

COMMENTS

- 1. The drug is classified "AP" in the list of the "Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluation".
- 2. The test drug product contains the same active and inactive ingredients in the same concentrations as the currently approved listed reference product and is intended solely for administration by injection.
- 3. The waiver of *in vivo* bioequivalence study requirements may be granted based on 21 CFR § 320.22(b)(1) of the Bioavailability/Bioequivalence Regulations.

RECOMMENDATION

The Division of Bioequivalence agrees that the information submitted by Gensia Sicor Pharmaceuticals, Inc. demonstrates that its Fluorouracil Injection, USP, 50 mg/mL in 50 and 100 mL Pharmacy Bulk Package Vials falls under 21 CFR § 320.22(b)(1) of Bioavailability/Bioequivalence Regulations. The waiver of in vivo bioequivalence study for Fluorouracil Injection, USP 50 mg/mL in 50 and 100 mL Pharmacy Bulk Package Vials of the test product is granted. From the bioequivalence point of view, the Division of Bioequivalence deems Gensia Sicor's Fluorouracil Injection, USP 50 mg/mL in 50 and 100 mL Pharmacy Bulk Package Vials bioequivalent to the reference listed product, Pharmacia and Upjohn's Adrucil 50 mg/mL, 50 and 100 mL Pharmacy Bulk Package Vials.

Chandra S. Chaurasia
Division of Bioequivalence
Review Branch I

RD INITIALLED YHUANG
FT INITIALLED YHUANG

Concur

Date: 11/3/98

Date: 11/4/98

Dale P. Conner, Pharm. D. Director, Division of Bioequivalence

BIOEQUIVALENCY COMMENTS

ANDA: #40-334 APPLICANT: Gensia Sicor Pharmaceutical, Inc

DRUG PRODUCT: Fluorouracil Injection, USP 50 mg/mL; 50 and 100 mL $\,$

Pharmacy Bulk Package Vials

The Division of Bioequivalence has completed its review of your application and has no further questions at this time.

Please note that the bioequivalency comments provided in this communication are preliminary. These comments are subject to revision after review of the entire application, upon consideration of the chemistry, manufacturing and controls, microbiology, labeling, or other scientific or regulatory issues. Please be advised that these reviews may result in the need for additional bioequivalency information and/or studies, or may result in a conclusion that the proposed formulation is not approvable.

Sincerely yours,

Dale P. Conner, Pharm. D.

Director, Division of Bioequivalence

Office of Generic Drugs

Center for Drug Evaluation and Research